**Table S1**Summary of Data Generation Mechanisms and Corresponding Data Analytical Procedures

	Data generation	Fitted model	Estimation method (statistical test)
Count data		Poisson	
	Negative binomial	Negative binomial	Laplace in R (Wald test) and pseudo likelihood in SAS (t test with Kenward-Roger)
		OLRE	
		Poisson	
	OLRE	Negative binomial	Laplace in R (Wald test) and pseudo likelihood in SAS (t test with Kenward-Roger)
		OLRE	
	Beta-binomial	Binomial	
		Beta-binomial <sup>a</sup>	Laplace in R (Wald test) and pseudo likelihood in SAS (t test with Kenward-Roger)
Proportion data		OLRE	22000. 42.4 22.6 84.7
		Binomial	
	OLRE	Beta-binomial <sup>a</sup>	Laplace in R (Wald test) and pseudo likelihood in SAS (t test with Kenward-Roger)
		OLRE	220

Note. <sup>a</sup> Beta-binomial distribution is not available in the SAS GLIMMIX Procedure.

 Table S2

 Summary of Design Factors and Conditions for Simulated Count Data with Basic Models.

Parameter	Value	Rationale
Series length (I)	10 (start points of the treatment: 3, 4, 6, 7) 20 (start points of the treatment: 6, 8, 12, 14)	Typical SCED setting
Number of cases	4 or 8	Typical SCED setting
$\gamma_{10}$	log (1.00), log (1.50) or log (3.00)	Zero, medium, and large immediate treatment effects
$\sigma_{u0}^2$	0.1	Mate analytical growths of SCEDs with assurt data
$\sigma_{u1}^2$	0.1	Meta-analytical results of SCEDs with count data
Negative binomial model		
$[\gamma_{00}, heta]$	[log (5), Inf], [log (5), 10.00] [log (5), 5.00] or [log (5), 3.33]	Baseline level: $\exp(\gamma_{00}) = 5.00$ Dispersion ratio: 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5
OLRE model		
$[\gamma_{00},\sigma_e^2]$	[log (5), 0], [log (4.77), 0.095], [log (4.57), 0.182] or [log (4.39), 0.262]	Baseline level: $\exp(\gamma_{00} + \sigma_e^2/2) = 5.00$ Dispersion ratio: 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5

*Note.* OLRE = observation-level random effects. In total, 48 conditions were generated based on the negative binomial and OLRE model, respectively.

**Table S3**Summary of Design Factors and Conditions for Simulated Proportion Data with Basic Models

Parameter	Value	Rationale		
Series length (I)	10 (starting points of the intervention: 3, 4, 6, 7) 20 (starting points of the intervention: 6, 8, 12, 14)	Typical SCED setting		
Number of cases	4 or 8	Typical SCED setting		
$\gamma_{10}$	log (1.00), log (1.44) or log (4.27)	Zero, medium, and large immediate treatment effects		
$\sigma_{u0}^2$	0.1	Marana latinal maraka of CCED arrith annualism data		
$\sigma_{u1}^2$	0.1	Meta-analytical results of SCEDs with proportion data		
Beta-binomial model				
$[\gamma_{00}, heta]$	[log (0.33), 0], [log (0.33), 0.036], [log (0.33), 0.074] or [log (0.33), 0.115]	Baseline level: odds = $\exp(\gamma_{00})$ = 0.33, probablity = 0.25 Dispersion ratio: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0		
OLRE model				
$[\gamma_{00},\sigma_e^2]$	[log (0.33), 0], [log (0.33), 0.185], [log (0.33), 0.370] or [log (0.33), 0.555]	Baseline level: odds = $\exp(\gamma_{00})$ = 0.33, probablity = 0.25 Dispersion ratio: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0		

*Note.* OLRE = observation-level random effects. In total, 48 conditions were generated based on the beta-binomial and OLRE model, respectively.

**Table S4**Bias, Coverage Rate, and Hit Rate of Basic Models for Count Data

Data generation mechanism	Performance	Dispersion ratio	Laplace approximation			Pseudo-likelihood		
			Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE	Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE
Count (Negative pinomial)	Bias		-0.005	-0.003	<.001	-0.005	-0.003	-0.008
	Coverage rate		.866	.896	.864	.942	.948	.949
			Chi-squared	AIC	BIC			
	Hit rate	= 1.0	.969	.934	.983			
		> 1.0	.728	.956	.917			
			Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE	Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE
Count (OLRE)	Bias		-0.003	-0.001	0.002	-0.002	<.001	-0.005
	Coverage rate		.868	.895	.867	.942	.947	.947
			Chi-squared	AIC	BIC			
	Hit rate	= 1.0	.972	.936	.984			
		> 1.0	.704	.949	.906			

 Table S5

 Type I Error Rates of Tests for Immediate Treatment Effect for Count Data with Basic Models

Data generation mechanism	Laplace approximation			Pseudo-like	Pseudo-likelihood		
	Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE	Poisson	Negative binomial	OLRE	
Count (Negative binomial)	.130	.102	.135	.057	.050	.051	
Count (OLRE)	.129	.102	.132	.058	.053	.052	

**Table S6**Bias, Coverage Rate, and Hit Rate of Basic Models for Proportion Data

Data generation mechanism	Performance	Dispersion ratio	Laplace approximation		Pseudo-likelih	Pseudo-likelihood	
			Binomial	OLRE	Binomial	OLRE	
Proportion (Beta-binomial)	Relative bias	1.0	<.001	0.002	<.001	<.001	
		2.0	0.003	0.025	0.002	0.013	
		3.0	0.001	0.048	<.001	0.030	
		4.0	0.005	0.080	0.005	0.056	
	Coverage rate	1.0	.887	.890	.949	.948	
		2.0	.865	.892	.942	.946	
		3.0	.847	.891	.937	.945	
		4.0	.848	.887	.938	.940	
			Chi-squared	AIC	BIC		
	Hit rate	= 1.0	.973	.941	.987		
		> 1.0	.932	.990	.979		
			Binomial	OLRE	Binomial	OLRE	
Proportion (OLRE)	Relative bias	1.0	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.002	
		2.0	-0.022	<.001	-0.022	-0.001	
		3.0	-0.043	-0.002	-0.044	-0.012	
		4.0	-0.060	-0.001	-0.060	-0.021	
	Coverage rate	1.0	.885	.888	.949	.948	
		2.0	.854	.899	.939	.946	
		3.0	.843	.918	.931	.953	
		4.0	.822	.915	.924	.949	
			Chi-squared	AIC	BIC		
	Hit rate	= 1.0	.969	.938	.987		
		> 1.0	.921	.991	.980		

 Table S7

 Type I Error Rates of Tests for Immediate Treatment Effect for Proportion Data with Basic Models

Data generation mechanism	Laplace appr	oximation	Pseudo-likeli	Pseudo-likelihood		
	Binomial OLRE		Binomial	OLRE		
Proportion (Beta-binomial)	.140	.101	.058	.053		
Proportion (OLRE)	.139	.098	.060	.052		