|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Supplemental Table 1 *Caregiver and Sibling Relationship to Transgender Youth* |
| Relationship to Transgender Youth | Primary Caregiver  | Secondary Caregiver |  | Sibling Relationship to Transgender Youth |  |
| Biological Mother | 43 (79.6%) | 2 (3.7%) |  | Twin | 2 (3.7%) |
| Biological Father | 3 (5.6%) | 36 (66.7%) |  | Full Biological Sibling | 37 (68.5%) |
| Adoptive Mother | 6 (11.1%) | 2 (3.7%) |  | Half-Sibling | 6 (11.1%) |
| Adoptive Father | 0 (0%) | 4 (7.4%) |  | Other/Not Applicable | 4 (6.8%) |
| Step-Parent/Live-in Partner | 0 (0%) | 5 (9.3%) |  | Sibling Living Situation with Transgender Youth  |
| Other | 2 (3.8%) | 5 (9.3%) |  | Always/Almost Always Same Home | 38 (70.4%) |
|  |  |  |  | Sometimes Lived in the Same Home | 4 (7.4%) |
|  |  |  |  | Never/Almost Never Lived in the Same Home | 3 (5.6%) |
| *Note.* Percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding or missing data |

Supplemental Table 2

*Interview Summary Examples Consistent with Each Domain of Acceptance-Rejection*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domain | Examples from interview summaries |
| Warmth | Close relationship (e.g., caregiver is “loving,” cares about youth, spends time with youth)Desire to do “whatever it takes to help youth be happy/healthy/”whole”  |
| Past Acceptance | Acceptance of gender non-conforming play/activities (e.g., toys, imaginary play, sports, activities)Acceptance of gender non-conforming dress/presentation (e.g., clothing, length of hair, outfits for special occasions such as weddings, Halloween costumes, underwear, bathing suits)Accepting response to early communication (e.g., supportive when youth initially identified as gay)Connection with information/services to enhance understanding/support (e.g., caregiver gives youth book about  being transgender, caregiver reads transgender-focused literature to increase their own understanding |
| Current Acceptance | Acceptance of gender non-conforming dress/presentation (e.g., clothing, length of hair, outfits for special occasions  such as prom, makeup, painted nails, binders, medical intervention)Acceptance of preferred name/pronouns (e.g., use of name/pronouns, supports legal name change)Accepting communication (e.g., talking regularly about youth’s distressing emotions related to puberty)Connection with information/services to enhance understanding/support (e.g., caregiver connects youth with gender  affirmative medical team, caregiver reads transgender-focused literature) |
| Supplemental Table 2 *(continued)* |
| Domain | Examples from interview summaries |
| Hostility | Verbal hostility/aggression (e.g., yells at youth, calls youth names, claims youth is “not their son,” says youth will  always be natal gender, caregiver punishes youth for gender non-conforming behavior)Physical hostility/aggression (e.g., “fist fights,” fights over gender non-conforming dress and behavior) |
| Indifference | Indifference towards youth’s gender identity (e.g., ignores youth’s gender identity, defers to other caregiver on  decisions related to youth’s gender, expresses no strong opinion related to youth’s gender Neglect of youth’s needs (e.g., caregiver doesn’t oppose youth living as affirmed gender but also doesn’t help with  process, caregiver hasn’t made effort to increase their personal understanding of gender issues) |
| Undiffer-entiated Rejection | Youth feels rejected although there are no clear indicators of rejection (e.g., caregiver reports being  accepting/supportive and youth reports feeling like caregiver doesn’t care about them) |

|  |
| --- |
| Supplemental Table 3 |
| *Summary of TFAR Caregiver Ratings* |
|  | Primary Caregiver |  | Secondary Caregiver |
| Variable | No | Sometimes | Yes |  | No | Sometimes | Yes |
| Warmth | 2 (3.7%) | 1 (1.9%) | 51 (94.4%) |  | 10 (19.2%) | 6 (11.5%) | 36 (69.2%) |
| Past Acceptance | 2 (4.0%) | 18 (36%) | 30 (60.0%) |  | 10 (20.8%) | 9 (18.8%) | 29 (60.4%) |
| Current Acceptance | 0 (0%) | 12 (22.2%) | 42 (77.8%) |  | 7 (13.5%) | 12 (23.1%) | 33 (63.5% |
| Hostility | 53 (98.1%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1.9%) |  | 46 (88.5% | 1 (1.9%) | 5 (9.6%) |
| Indifference | 53 (98.1%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (3.7%) |  | 48 (92.3%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (7.7%) |
| Undifferentiated Rejection | 52 (96.3%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (3.7%) |  | 46 (88.5%) | 3 (5.8%) | 3 (5.8%) |

*Note*. Ns range from 48 to 54