

Supplementary Material

Three-way interaction and simple slope estimates for alternative models

| | Three-way interaction, <i>B(SE)</i> | High EIC, High MSA, <i>B(SE)</i> | Low EIC, High MSA, <i>B(SE)</i> | High EIC, Low MSA, <i>B(SE)</i> | Low EIC, Low MSA, <i>B(SE)</i> |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Model 1 (N = 276) | -.366** (.126) | .067 (.132) | .554** (.123) | .289* (.145) | .037 (.115) |
| Model 2 (N = 276) | -.360** (.138) | .073 (.135) | .556** (.111) | .285 (.163) | .042 (.126) |
| Model 3 (N = 276) | -.372** (.123) | .064 (.137) | .552** (.118) | .290* (.135) | .027 (.103) |
| Model 4 (N = 276) | -.365** (.127) | .069 (.146) | .554** (.108) | .287 (.160) | .035 (.126) |
| Model 5 (N = 219) | -.319 (.184) | .081 (.163) | .505** (.136) | .305 (.209) | .086 (.150) |
| Model 6 (N = 165) | -.093 (.158) | .248 (.187) | .423** (.152) | .167 (.216) | .155 (.211) |
| Model 7 (N = 276) | -.206 (.201) | .250 (.180) | .537** (.148) | .225 (.176) | .098 (.156) |
| Model 8 (N = 276) | -.409* (.187) | .001 (.152) | .548** (.139) | .282 (.189) | .004 (.140) |
| Model 9 (N = 276) | -.378** (.111) | -.229* (.105) | .208* (.102) | .317** (.109) | -.008 (.103) |

** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$. EIC = Ethnic identity commitment, MSA = Meta-stereotype awareness.

Model 1: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad’s nativity status) and latent psychological adjustment outcome (findings presented in manuscript)

Model 2: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad’s nativity status) and one theoretical covariate (i.e., international student status) and latent psychological adjustment outcome

Model 3: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad’s nativity status) and one theoretical covariate (i.e., nativity) and latent psychological adjustment outcome

Model 4: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad’s nativity status) and two theoretical covariates (i.e., international student status, nativity) and latent psychological adjustment outcome

Model 5: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad's nativity status) and latent psychological adjustment outcome using sample without international students.

Model 6: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad's nativity status) and latent psychological adjustment outcome using sample with only native-born students.

Model 7: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad's nativity status) and observed outcome of depression.

Model 8: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad's nativity status) and observed outcome of general anxiety.

Model 9: Racial Discrimination × Ethnic Identity Commitment × Meta-Stereotype Awareness with four empirically determined covariates (i.e., age, education, grades, and dad's nativity status) and observed outcome of social anxiety.