Online Supplemental Materials

All multilevel regression models were estimated with maximum likelihood using the R library 'nlme' which utilizes Wald *t*-tests to test the fixed effects coefficients (Version 3.1.140; Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, Sarkar, & R Core Team, 2019). Linear contrasts were defined using the 'linearHypothesis' command from the R library 'car' (Version 3.0.3; Fox & Weisberg, 2011) to test differences of the growth parameters—before-slope, jump, and after-slope—between two analysis groups. Defining linear contrasts between parameters of the parent group and the control group was necessary in the full models which included gender interactions because the growth parameters to be compared were represented by multiple fixed-effects coefficients. In this case, a χ^2 statistic is used comparing the original model with a model where a combination of parameters is constrained to be zero. Also, to test the actual size of the difference between *time 2* and *time 3* (see Figure S2), the fixed-effects parameters for *slope2* and *jump* have to be combined in such a linear contrast, since the *jump* parameters represent "the shift in intercept above and beyond that created by *slope2*" (Hoffman, 2015, p. 267). Confidence intervals for these linear contrasts were computed using the 'glht' command from the R library 'multcomp' (Version 1.4.10; Hothorn, Bretz, & Westfall, 2008). To evaluate hypotheses 2a), 2b), 2c), and 2d), *t*-tests were performed at the last included time point to estimate to which degree group differences persisted between the analysis groups. Welch two-sample *t*-tests were used. For significant group differences, Cohen's d was computed using the 'cohen.d' function from the R library 'effsize' (Version 0.7.6; Torchiano, 2019). These tests compared parents vs. controls overall, as well as mothers vs. female controls and fathers vs. male controls.

A

Gender – Before matching



Gender – After matching



Age – Before matching



Age – After matching



B

Propensity score



Size of household



B (continued)

Survey year



Size of house or apartment in m2



Moved to this household X years ago



Monthly net household income in €



Dummy: 1 = German nationality



Dummy: 1 = Born in Germany



B (continued)

Dummy: 1 = Migration background, direct or indirect



Dummy: 1 = Part-time employment (reference category = full-time)



Dummy: 1 = Not employed (reference category = full-time)



Dummy: 1 = Other form of employment (reference category = full-time)



Dummy: 1 = Home owner (house or apartment)



Dummy: 1 = Intermediate qualifications (CASMIN codes 2a, 2b; reference category = basic/general education)



B (continued)

Dummy: 1 = Maturity certificate (CASMIN code 2c; reference category = basic/general education)



Dummy: 1 = Tertiary education (CASMIN codes 3a, 3b; reference category = basic/general education)



Dummy: 1 = Still in school (CASMIN code 0; reference category = basic/general education)



Dummy: 1 = Not living with a significant other in this household



Dummy: 1 = Adequacy of living space rated as either "Much too small" or "A bit too small" on 5-point scale



Dummy: 1 = Lives in urban area



B (continued)

Dummy: 1 = Lives in Eastern Germany



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Schleswig-Holstein or Hamburg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Lower Saxony or Bremen (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Hesse (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Rhineland-Palatinate or Saarland (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Baden-Wuerttemberg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Bavaria (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Berlin (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Brandenburg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



B (Continued)

Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Saxony
(reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Saxony-Anhalt
(reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Thuringia
(reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)



Figure S1. Distributions of covariates involved in the matching procedure. (A) Exact matching covariates and their balance before and after matching. (B) Covariates used for propensity score estimation as well as the propensity score itself, and their balance between parents and controls after matching.

A

$$y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}slope1_{ij} + \beta_{2j}slope2_{ij} + \beta_{3j}jump_{ij} + e_{ij}$$
$$\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}parent_j + \gamma_{02}pscore_j + u_{0j}$$
$$\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}parent_j$$
$$\beta_{2j} = \gamma_{20} + \gamma_{21}parent_j$$
$$\beta_{3j} = \gamma_{30} + \gamma_{31}parent_j$$

$$e_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
$$u_{0j} \sim N(0, \tau_{00})$$

Reduced-Form:

$$y_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}parent_j + \gamma_{02}pscore_j + \gamma_{10}slope1_{ij} + \gamma_{11}parent_jslope1_{ij} + \gamma_{20}slope2_{ij} + \gamma_{21}parent_jslope2_{ij} + \gamma_{30}jump_{ij} + \gamma_{31}parent_jjump_{ij} + u_{0j} + e_{ij}$$

B

$$y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}slope1_{ij} + \beta_{2j}slope2_{ij} + \beta_{3j}jump_{ij} + e_{ij}$$
$$\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}parent_j + \gamma_{02}female_j + \gamma_{03}parent_jfemale_j + \gamma_{04}pscore_j + u_{0j}$$
$$\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}parent_j + \gamma_{12}female_j + \gamma_{13}parent_jfemale_j$$
$$\beta_{2j} = \gamma_{20} + \gamma_{21}parent_j + \gamma_{22}female_j + \gamma_{23}parent_jfemale_j$$
$$\beta_{3j} = \gamma_{30} + \gamma_{31}parent_j + \gamma_{32}female_j + \gamma_{33}parent_jfemale_j$$

$$e_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
$$u_{0j} \sim N(0, \tau_{00})$$

Reduced-Form:

$$y_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}parent_j + \gamma_{02}female_j + \gamma_{03}parent_jfemale_j + \gamma_{04}pscore_j + \gamma_{10}slope1_{ij} + \gamma_{11}parent_jslope1_{ij} + \gamma_{12}female_jslope1_{ij} + \gamma_{13}parent_jfemale_jslope1_{ij} + \gamma_{20}slope2_{ij} + \gamma_{21}parent_jslope2_{ij} + \gamma_{22}female_jslope2_{ij} + \gamma_{23}parent_jfemale_jslope2_{ij} + \gamma_{30}jump_{ij} + \gamma_{31}parent_jjump_{ij} + \gamma_{32}female_jjump_{ij} + \gamma_{33}parent_jfemale_jjump_{ij} + u_{0j} + e_{ij} +$$

C

Time	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
slope1	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
slope2	0	0	0	1	2	3	4	5
jump	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1

Figure S2. (A) Model equation for the basic model without the gender interaction. (B) Model equation for the full model that includes the gender interaction. (C) Coding scheme for the piecewise regression coefficients used in both the basic and the full model where childbirth takes place between *time 2* and *time 3*.

Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)





Figure S3. Trajectories of life satisfaction and satisfaction in nine specific domains for parents and matched childless controls in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample). These are based on the basic models that do not include the gender interactions. The approximate time of birth is indicated by a vertical line.

Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)





Figure S4. Trajectories of life satisfaction and satisfaction in nine specific domains for parents and matched childless controls in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample). These are based on the full models that include the gender interactions. The approximate time of birth is indicated by a vertical line.

Main Holdout Sample (50% of the Total Sample)





Figure S5. Trajectories of life satisfaction and satisfaction in nine specific domains for parents and matched childless controls in the Main Holdout Sample (50% of the Total Sample). These are based on the basic models that do not include the gender interactions. The error bars are 95% confidence intervals of the predicted values for each subgroup. Being multilevel models, these only account for the fixed-effects portion of the model. The approximate time of birth is indicated by a vertical line.

Table S1

Sensitivity analyses investigating differences between included and excluded respondents

Variable	Cohen's *d* for parents excluded after Step 2	Cohen's *d* for parents excluded after Step 3	Cohen's *d* for parents excluded after Step 4	Cohen's *d* for childless resp. excluded after Step 2
Life satisfaction	-0.11	-0.04	-0.07	-0.08
Satisfaction with family life	-0.28	0.06	-0.02	-0.03
Satisfaction with health	-0.08	-0.06	0.01	-0.18
Satisfaction with sleep	0.02	-0.04	0.22	-0.05
Satisfaction with job	-0.05	-0.12	-0.05	-0.08
Satisfaction with housework	-0.04	0.00	0.05	-0.04
Satisfaction w. household inc.	0.00	0.03	-0.11	0.06
Satisfaction w. personal inc.	0.02	0.20	0.07	0.21
Satisfaction with leisure	0.03	-0.03	0.16	-0.02
Satisfaction with dwelling	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.05

Note. 2,628 parents without valid information from the second wave before birth were excluded after Step 2 (see Figure 1). 304 parents with any missing data in the covariates used for propensity score estimation were excluded after Step 3. 149 parents were excluded because the birth of the first and the second child were reported in subsequent survey years. These three groups of excluded parents were compared to the total sample of 3,371 included parents. 2,605 childless respondents with any missing data in the covariates used for propensity score estimation were excluded after Step 2 (see Figure 1). These were compared to the total sample of 22,106 eligible childless matches. The median (mean) effect size for parents from all three steps was 0.05 (0.07), and for childless respondents 0.05 (0.08).

Table S2

List of the Covariates Used for Propensity Score Estimation and their Coefficients in the Estimation

Covariate	Coefficient	P>z	Explanation
germancitiz	-0.28	0.00	Dummy: 1 = German nationality
germborn	0.24	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Born in Germany
migration	0.26	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Migration background, direct or indirect
parttime	-0.31	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Part-time employment (reference category = full-time)
notemployed	-1.10	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Not employed (reference category = full-time)
jobother	-0.51	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Other form of employment (reference category = full-time)
inschool	-0.75	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Still in school (CASMIN code 0; reference category = basic/general education)
intermediate_educ	0.44	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Intermediate qualifications (CASMIN codes 2a, 2b; reference category = basic/general education)
maturity_educ	0.40	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Maturity certificate (CASMIN code 2c; reference category = basic/general education)
tertiary_educ	0.33	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Tertiary education (CASMIN codes 3a, 3b; reference category = basic/general education)
nopartinhh	-0.88	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Not living with a significant other in this household
hinc	0.00	0.25	Monthly net household income in €
owner	-0.05	0.33	Dummy: 1 = Home owner (house or apartment)
size	0.00	0.01	Size of house or apartment in m^2
smallspace	0.13	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Adequacy of living space rated as either "Much too small" or "A bit too small" on 5-point scale
livedhere	-0.10	0.00	Moved to this household X years ago
fedstate1	-0.19	0.05	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Schleswig-Holstein or Hamburg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate3	0.10	0.15	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Lower Saxony or Bremen (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate6	-0.27	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Hesse (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate7	-0.03	0.70	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal states of Rhineland-Palatinate or Saarland (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate8	0.16	0.01	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Baden-Wuerttemberg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate9	0.10	0.12	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Bavaria (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate11	-0.50	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Berlin (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate12	-0.40	0.08	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Brandenburg (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate13	-0.79	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)

fedstate14	-0.29	0.19	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Saxony (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate15	-0.32	0.17	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Saxony-Anhalt (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
fedstate16	-0.40	0.09	Dummy: 1 = Lives in federal state of Thuringia (reference category = North Rhine-Westphalia)
hhgr	0.08	0.00	Size of household
east	0.41	0.05	Dummy: 1 = Lives in Eastern Germany
urban	-0.16	0.00	Dummy: 1 = Lives in urban area
syear	-0.05	0.00	Survey year / wave
constant	88.63	0.00	

Note. Logistic regression of 32 covariates on the binary parent variable (1=parent / 0=control) using a logit link function. N = 141,819. Likelihood ratio $\chi^2(32)$ = 4660.32. Log-likelihood = -13045.014. CASMIN = Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Nations (Brauns, Scherer, & Steinmann, 2003).

Table S3

Standardized Difference in Means for Covariates Used in Matching Procedure and the Propensity Score

Covariate	Before Matching	After Matching	Change
Propensity Score	0.982	0.051	0.931
hhgr	-0.101	0.009	0.092
syear	-0.393	-0.295	0.098
size	-0.245	0.148	0.098
livedhere	-1.301	0.109	1.192
hinc	-0.020	0.038	-0.018
germancitiz	-0.158	0.008	0.150
germborn	-0.121	-0.010	0.111
migration	0.111	-0.043	0.068
parttime	0.021	-0.043	-0.022
notemployed	-0.908	0.060	0.847
jobother	-0.143	-0.057	0.086
intermediate_educ	0.175	-0.024	0.151
maturity_educ	0.026	-0.156	-0.130
tertiary_educ	0.099	-0.017	0.082
inschool	-0.684	-0.046	0.639
nopartinhh	-0.594	-0.145	0.449
smallspace	0.147	-0.125	0.022
urban	0.029	-0.029	0.000
east	-0.075	0.024	0.051
owner	-0.354	0.185	0.169
fedstate1	-0.021	-0.026	-0.006
fedstate3	0.043	0.018	0.025
fedstate6	-0.037	-0.023	0.013
fedstate7	-0.035	0.013	0.022
fedstate8	0.070	-0.003	0.067
fedstate9	0.044	-0.035	0.009
fedstate11	-0.078	-0.014	0.064
fedstate12	-0.022	0.034	-0.012
fedstate13	-0.053	0.017	0.036
fedstate14	-0.016	0.022	-0.006
fedstate15	-0.029	0.002	0.027
fedstate16	-0.039	-0.007	0.032

Note. Standardized difference in means between the parent and the control group was computed by $(\bar{x}_p - \bar{x}_c)/\hat{\sigma}_p$. A rule of thumb says that this measure should ideally be below .25 (Stuart, 2010).

Table S4

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Life Satisfaction in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.23	7.09	7.38
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	1.7	0.35	3.06
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.09	-0.16	-0.02
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.07	-0.11	-0.03
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.12	-0.02	0.27
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.05	-0.21	0.11
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.2	0.1	0.29
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.07	-0.12	-0.02
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.1	-0.09	0.29
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.22	7.03	7.42
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	1.73	0.38	3.09
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.12	-0.23	-0.02
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.07	-0.13	-0.02
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.17	-0.04	0.38
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.15	-0.39	0.09
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.02	-0.21	0.25
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.26	0.12	0.39
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.03	-0.11	0.05
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.05	-0.33	0.23
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0.06	-0.08	0.2
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0	-0.08	0.08
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.09	-0.38	0.21
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	0.18	-0.14	0.5
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.11	-0.29	0.08
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.08	-0.18	0.03
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.27	-0.12	0.65

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,686; Number of Observations = 9,048.

Table S5

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Family Life in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.95	7.69	8.2
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	2.3	-0.77	5.37
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.13	-0.26	0
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.06	-0.14	0.02
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.09	-0.37	0.19
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.07	-0.38	0.24
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.29	0.1	0.49
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.06	-0.16	0.04
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.3	-0.07	0.66
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.72	7.37	8.07
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	2.83	-0.23	5.89
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.1	-0.3	0.1
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.09	-0.21	0.02
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.14	-0.55	0.28
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.04	-0.43	0.51
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.37	-0.05	0.79
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.37	0.08	0.67
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.04	-0.19	0.11
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.38	-0.17	0.92
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.05	-0.32	0.21
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.05	-0.1	0.21
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.1	-0.46	0.66
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.21	-0.84	0.42
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.14	-0.53	0.24
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.04	-0.24	0.17
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.16	-0.89	0.58

Note. Number of Respondents = 847; Number of Observations = 3,195.

Table S6

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Health in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.64	7.47	7.81
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.26	-1.3	1.81
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.14	-0.22	-0.07
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.1	-0.15	-0.05
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.12	-0.05	0.29
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.07	-0.26	0.11
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.14	0.03	0.25
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0	-0.07	0.06
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.08	-0.3	0.14
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.63	7.41	7.86
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.26	-1.3	1.81
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.17	-0.29	-0.05
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.08	-0.14	-0.02
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.13	-0.11	0.38
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.01	-0.27	0.29
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.01	-0.26	0.28
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.1	-0.06	0.26
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.03	-0.06	0.12
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.17	-0.5	0.16
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0.05	-0.11	0.21
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.04	-0.13	0.05
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.02	-0.36	0.32
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.14	-0.52	0.23
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.07	-0.14	0.29
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.05	-0.17	0.08
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.16	-0.29	0.61

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,679; Number of Observations = 9,031.

Table S7

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Sleep in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.65	7.32	7.99
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-4.8	-9.39	-0.21
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.07	-0.09	0.22
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.1	-0.2	-0.01
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.1	-0.22	0.43
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.2	-0.19	0.6
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.26	-0.49	-0.03
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.27	0.14	0.39
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-1.08	-1.51	-0.65
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.55	7.1	8
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-4.48	-9.06	0.11
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.17	-0.07	0.4
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.04	-0.18	0.1
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.15	-0.63	0.32
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.44	-0.15	1.03
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.15	-0.38	0.68
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.27	-0.62	0.07
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.14	-0.05	0.33
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.57	-1.21	0.07
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.17	-0.49	0.14
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.11	-0.3	0.07
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.46	-0.19	1.11
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.41	-1.21	0.38
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.02	-0.44	0.48
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.21	-0.04	0.47
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.9	-1.77	-0.04

Note. Number of Respondents = 691; Number of Observations = 2,478.

Table S8

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Job in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.17	6.98	7.37
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.59	-1.09	2.27
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.13	-0.24	-0.03
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.05	-0.1	0.01
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.02	-0.24	0.2
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.14	-0.36	0.08
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.1	-0.04	0.24
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.01	-0.07	0.1
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.09	-0.21	0.4
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.1	6.84	7.37
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.5	-1.18	2.18
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.11	-0.27	0.04
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.01	-0.09	0.07
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.01	-0.3	0.33
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.03	-0.36	0.3
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.15	-0.18	0.47
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.14	-0.07	0.35
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.01	-0.12	0.11
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0	-0.42	0.42
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.04	-0.25	0.17
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.07	-0.19	0.04
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.05	-0.49	0.39
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.2	-0.64	0.24
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.08	-0.37	0.2
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.06	-0.11	0.23
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.11	-0.52	0.74

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,616; Number of Observations = 7,468.

Table S9

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Housework in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.92	6.73	7.12
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.06	-1.72	1.59
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.04	-0.15	0.07
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.03	-0.09	0.03
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.08	-0.3	0.14
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.1	-0.12	0.32
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.04	-0.18	0.1
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.02	-0.05	0.1
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.01	-0.28	0.29
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.87	6.6	7.15
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-0.05	-1.71	1.6
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.08	-0.25	0.08
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.05	-0.13	0.04
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.05	-0.27	0.38
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.18	-0.17	0.53
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.09	-0.24	0.42
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.03	-0.25	0.2
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.04	-0.08	0.17
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.04	-0.41	0.49
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0.07	-0.14	0.29
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.03	-0.09	0.15
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.23	-0.67	0.2
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.13	-0.59	0.32
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.03	-0.32	0.26
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.04	-0.2	0.13
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.02	-0.6	0.56

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,552; Number of Observations = 6,593.

Table S10

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Household Income in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.35	6.16	6.55
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	2.63	0.79	4.46
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.04	-0.14	0.05
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.02	-0.03	0.08
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.07	-0.26	0.13
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.08	-0.3	0.13
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.15	0.02	0.27
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.02	-0.05	0.09
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.38	-0.64	-0.12
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.16	5.89	6.42
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	2.63	0.79	4.46
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.04	-0.18	0.09
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.01	-0.08	0.07
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.1	-0.18	0.39
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.05	-0.28	0.37
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.35	0.03	0.67
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.19	0	0.37
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.11	0.01	0.21
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.63	-1	-0.25
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0	-0.19	0.19
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.06	-0.05	0.16
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.33	-0.73	0.06
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.23	-0.67	0.21
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.07	-0.32	0.18
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.16	-0.3	-0.02
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.47	-0.05	0.98

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,677; Number of Observations = 8,938.

Table S11

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Personal Income in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	5.6	5.27	5.93
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	4.54	0.65	8.43
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.09	-0.07	0.26
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.1	0.01	0.19
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.13	-0.46	0.21
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.13	-0.26	0.52
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.09	-0.31	0.14
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.09	-0.03	0.21
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.73	-1.17	-0.3
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	5.67	5.22	6.11
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	4.28	0.42	8.14
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.21	-0.03	0.46
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.11	-0.03	0.24
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.33	-0.82	0.15
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.07	-0.51	0.64
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.11	-0.64	0.43
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.07	-0.41	0.26
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.04	-0.14	0.22
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.02	-0.62	0.66
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.21	-0.54	0.11
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.01	-0.19	0.17
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.38	-0.28	1.04
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	0.09	-0.68	0.87
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.02	-0.47	0.43
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.1	-0.14	0.34
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-1.39	-2.26	-0.53

Note. Number of Respondents = 992; Number of Observations = 3,976.

Table S12

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Leisure in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.91	6.71	7.1
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-3.05	-4.75	-1.35
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.03	-0.13	0.06
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.04	-0.09	0.02
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.08	-0.13	0.29
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.07	-0.14	0.29
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.04	-0.09	0.18
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.03	-0.05	0.11
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.51	-0.78	-0.23
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.7	6.44	6.96
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-2.92	-4.62	-1.23
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.03	-0.17	0.12
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.02	-0.1	0.06
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.06	-0.24	0.36
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.2	-0.12	0.52
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.34	0.03	0.66
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.1	-0.3	0.1
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.02	-0.12	0.09
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.2	-0.6	0.2
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.01	-0.21	0.19
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.03	-0.14	0.08
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.05	-0.37	0.46
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.23	-0.66	0.2
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.26	-0.01	0.53
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.08	-0.07	0.24
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.56	-1.11	-0.01

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,670; Number of Observations = 8,947.

Table S13

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Dwelling in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI	
Basic Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.64	7.46	7.82
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.5	-2.08	1.09
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.08	-0.18	0.01
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.03	-0.03	0.08
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.12	-0.32	0.08
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.03	-0.23	0.18
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.1	-0.02	0.23
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.02	-0.05	0.09
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.18	-0.44	0.09
Full Model			
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.52	7.27	7.76
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-0.49	-2.08	1.09
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.05	-0.19	0.09
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.03	-0.04	0.11
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.24	-0.53	0.05
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0	-0.31	0.31
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.21	-0.08	0.51
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.1	-0.09	0.29
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.04	-0.07	0.14
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.03	-0.36	0.42
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.07	-0.26	0.12
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.01	-0.12	0.1
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.23	-0.18	0.63
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.05	-0.46	0.36
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0	-0.26	0.25
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.03	-0.18	0.11
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.38	-0.91	0.15

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,678; Number of Observations = 8,971.

Table S14

Descriptive Statistics in the Exploratory Sample (25% of the Total Sample)

	time==0		time==1		time==2		time==3		time==4		time==5		time==6		time==7	
	M	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*	*M*	*SD*
Life Satisfaction																
Mothers	7.40	1.74	7.41	1.65	7.58	1.53	7.79	1.37	7.31	1.61	7.11	1.68	7.07	1.64	6.94	1.69
Fathers	7.20	1.74	7.27	1.68	7.50	1.56	7.51	1.57	7.29	1.45	7.12	1.52	7.13	1.42	7.05	1.57
Childless Women	7.32	1.74	7.30	1.75	7.23	1.76	7.29	1.87	7.09	1.90	7.21	1.75	6.75	2.07	6.96	1.73
Childless Men	7.17	1.73	7.37	1.54	6.90	1.76	7.11	1.46	6.94	1.56	6.92	1.65	6.57	1.85	6.83	1.46
Satisfaction with Family Life																
Mothers	8.09	1.48	8.08	1.64	8.26	1.57	8.45	1.50	8.05	1.58	7.78	1.56	7.67	1.97	8.05	1.70
Fathers	8.07	1.94	8.23	1.70	8.49	1.58	8.60	1.43	8.41	1.35	7.95	1.79	7.91	1.71	8.18	1.74
Childless Women	8.18	1.56	8.09	1.87	7.97	1.99	7.93	2.01	7.85	1.82	7.69	2.05	7.70	2.00	7.64	1.83
Childless Men	8.11	1.62	7.79	2.02	7.87	1.78	7.62	1.67	7.04	2.24	6.98	2.17	7.00	2.47	7.04	2.17
Satisfaction with Health																
Mothers	7.55	1.93	7.47	1.94	7.69	1.71	7.60	1.80	7.44	1.99	7.16	2.00	7.06	1.99	7.06	2.07
Fathers	7.63	1.93	7.60	1.94	7.52	1.93	7.46	1.88	7.28	1.83	7.21	1.85	7.18	1.93	7.36	1.72
Childless Women	7.65	1.91	7.57	1.85	7.41	1.93	7.54	1.94	7.18	2.14	7.06	2.17	6.97	2.18	6.99	2.10
Childless Men	7.60	1.86	7.46	1.94	7.25	1.80	7.31	1.92	7.14	1.96	7.07	1.87	6.81	2.03	6.85	1.89
Satisfaction with Sleep																
Mothers	7.21	1.97	7.39	2.16	6.83	2.14	5.92	2.42	6.48	2.22	6.25	2.12	6.35	2.36	7.08	1.82
Fathers	7.45	2.08	7.78	2.05	7.38	2.02	6.91	2.10	6.87	1.75	6.86	2.08	7.02	2.20	7.51	1.70
Childless Women	7.46	1.82	7.63	2.00	7.52	2.15	7.67	1.90	7.51	1.92	7.79	2.29	7.30	2.31	6.87	2.51
Childless Men	7.31	2.12	7.57	1.99	7.63	1.78	7.41	1.96	7.31	1.88	7.17	1.75	7.12	1.90	7.00	1.89

Satisfaction with Job																
Mothers	7.09	2.07	6.96	2.30	6.97	2.18	7.04	2.16	7.05	2.25	6.93	2.09	6.97	2.20	6.90	2.26
Fathers	7.13	2.05	7.14	2.12	7.20	1.94	7.22	1.90	7.01	2.05	7.12	1.95	7.10	1.95	6.86	2.09
Childless Women	7.35	2.05	7.07	2.21	7.05	2.13	7.04	2.05	6.68	2.31	6.68	2.59	6.57	2.30	6.58	2.34
Childless Men	7.15	1.91	7.01	2.18	6.90	2.13	6.88	2.06	6.93	1.97	6.80	2.03	6.71	2.18	6.85	1.78
Satisfaction with Housework																
Mothers	6.95	1.88	6.97	1.85	6.88	1.83	6.85	1.85	6.51	1.85	6.63	1.75	6.78	1.66	6.86	1.69
Fathers	7.11	1.79	7.10	2.02	6.82	1.97	6.96	1.89	6.90	1.94	6.95	2.02	6.85	1.96	6.67	2.07
Childless Women	6.96	1.98	6.96	1.90	6.94	2.01	6.71	1.96	6.60	1.83	6.37	2.12	6.54	1.81	6.65	1.81
Childless Men	6.85	2.08	6.89	2.03	6.63	1.99	6.67	2.08	6.61	2.21	6.61	2.01	6.36	2.21	6.48	2.13
Satisfaction with Household Inc.																
Mothers	6.52	2.22	6.56	2.30	6.66	2.31	6.25	2.29	6.24	2.42	6.18	2.41	6.10	2.18	6.13	2.31
Fathers	6.29	2.36	6.71	2.19	6.61	1.99	6.25	2.14	6.32	2.04	6.34	1.97	6.41	1.98	6.51	1.74
Childless Women	6.72	2.22	6.58	2.26	6.64	2.25	6.46	2.28	6.42	2.29	6.38	2.26	6.51	2.14	6.53	2.21
Childless Men	6.31	2.25	6.35	2.23	6.15	2.27	6.25	2.20	6.28	2.10	6.20	2.08	6.09	2.22	6.14	2.10
Satisfaction with Personal Inc.																
Mothers	6.06	2.44	5.88	2.62	5.89	2.61	4.82	2.73	4.64	2.95	5.53	2.64	5.26	2.40	5.47	2.60
Fathers	5.95	2.70	6.36	2.46	6.28	2.60	6.19	2.47	6.18	2.53	6.08	2.45	6.31	2.21	6.42	2.07
Childless Women	6.00	2.47	5.96	2.56	5.93	2.54	6.00	2.53	5.79	2.71	5.76	2.80	5.85	2.67	6.07	2.33
Childless Men	6.20	2.42	6.29	2.35	6.33	2.27	6.08	2.54	5.93	2.50	6.01	2.27	6.01	2.32	6.07	2.08
Satisfaction with Leisure																
Mothers	6.87	2.10	6.76	2.16	7.14	1.92	6.42	2.26	6.40	2.26	6.42	2.25	6.34	2.30	6.40	2.11
Fathers	6.61	2.29	6.67	2.17	6.39	2.28	6.32	2.17	6.19	2.17	5.93	2.30	5.91	2.31	6.22	2.32
Childless Women	6.90	2.18	6.76	2.25	6.86	2.26	6.93	2.25	6.78	2.12	6.67	2.31	6.58	2.21	6.72	2.29

Childless Men	6.56	2.20	6.39	2.26	6.50	2.12	6.57	2.12	6.41	2.28	6.29	2.28	6.38	2.05	6.40	2.19
Satisfaction with Dwelling																
Mothers	7.63	2.04	7.73	2.07	7.58	2.17	7.36	2.23	7.14	2.41	7.38	2.23	7.29	2.18	7.49	1.99
Fathers	7.42	2.03	7.60	1.98	7.57	1.94	7.43	1.99	7.59	1.84	7.52	1.98	7.68	1.89	7.81	1.94
Childless Women	7.66	2.09	7.63	2.16	7.44	2.29	7.54	2.15	7.37	2.20	7.38	2.13	7.48	2.14	7.50	2.04
Childless Men	7.42	1.98	7.52	2.02	7.32	2.03	7.15	2.05	7.24	1.98	7.12	2.09	7.19	1.94	7.16	1.98

Note. Inc. = Income; *M* = mean, *SD* = standard deviation. See Fig. S2 for an overview of the *time* dummy coding.

Table S15

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Life Satisfaction in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.24	7.14	7.34	0.051	14485	143.152	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	1.3	0.36	2.23	0.475	3364	2.728	0.006
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.09	-0.14	-0.04	0.025	14485	-3.607	0
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.03	-0.05	0	0.015	14485	-1.794	0.073
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.07	-0.18	0.04	0.054	14485	-1.312	0.19
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.04	-0.07	0.15	0.057	3364	0.665	0.506
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.18	0.11	0.25	0.034	14485	5.351	0
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.11	-0.15	-0.07	0.019	14485	-5.68	0
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.18	0.05	0.32	0.07	14485	2.606	0.009
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.19	7.05	7.32	0.068	14479	106.45	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	1.32	0.39	2.25	0.475	3362	2.772	0.006
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.09	-0.16	-0.02	0.036	14479	-2.429	0.015
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.03	-0.07	0	0.02	14479	-1.725	0.085
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.05	-0.2	0.1	0.077	14479	-0.695	0.487
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.15	-0.01	0.32	0.084	3362	1.847	0.065
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.1	-0.06	0.26	0.082	3362	1.221	0.222
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.12	0.03	0.22	0.049	14479	2.509	0.012
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.06	-0.11	0	0.028	14479	-2.015	0.044
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.07	-0.13	0.26	0.101	14479	0.647	0.518
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0	-0.1	0.1	0.05	14479	-0.053	0.958
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.02	-0.04	0.08	0.029	14479	0.636	0.525
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.04	-0.25	0.17	0.108	14479	-0.348	0.728
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.22	-0.44	0.01	0.114	3362	-1.9	0.057
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.1	-0.03	0.24	0.067	14479	1.541	0.123
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.1	-0.18	-0.03	0.039	14479	-2.668	0.008
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.22	-0.06	0.49	0.141	14479	1.561	0.119

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,367; Number of Observations = 17,858.

Table S16

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Health in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.59	7.48	7.71	0.06	14452	127.372	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.39	-0.7	1.49	0.556	3356	0.709	0.478
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.13	-0.19	-0.08	0.03	14452	-4.534	0
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.08	-0.11	-0.04	0.017	14452	-4.414	0
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.03	-0.09	0.15	0.063	14452	0.462	0.644
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0	-0.13	0.13	0.067	3356	-0.038	0.97
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.13	0.06	0.21	0.039	14452	3.423	0.001
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.07	-0.11	-0.03	0.023	14452	-3.088	0.002
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.07	-0.09	0.23	0.082	14452	0.81	0.418
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.55	7.39	7.71	0.08	14446	94.646	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.4	-0.69	1.49	0.557	3354	0.722	0.47
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.12	-0.21	-0.04	0.043	14446	-2.899	0.004
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.06	-0.1	-0.01	0.023	14446	-2.472	0.013
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.02	-0.2	0.16	0.09	14446	-0.214	0.83
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.12	-0.07	0.32	0.099	3354	1.256	0.209
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.08	-0.11	0.26	0.097	3354	0.776	0.438
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.07	-0.05	0.18	0.058	14446	1.141	0.254
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.08	-0.14	-0.01	0.032	14446	-2.36	0.018
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.08	-0.15	0.31	0.118	14446	0.699	0.485
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.02	-0.13	0.1	0.059	14446	-0.291	0.771
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.04	-0.1	0.03	0.034	14446	-1.115	0.265
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.1	-0.15	0.35	0.127	14446	0.797	0.425
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.23	-0.5	0.03	0.134	3354	-1.743	0.081
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.13	-0.03	0.28	0.079	14446	1.614	0.106
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.02	-0.07	0.11	0.046	14446	0.365	0.715
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.04	-0.36	0.28	0.165	14446	-0.259	0.796

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,359; Number of Observations = 17,817.

Table S17

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Sleep in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.45	7.22	7.68	0.117	3528	63.469	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	1.11	-1.77	3.98	1.465	1445	0.755	0.45
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.09	-0.21	0.03	0.06	3528	-1.515	0.13
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.08	-0.15	-0.01	0.036	3528	-2.195	0.028
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.04	-0.21	0.29	0.125	3528	0.321	0.748
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.04	-0.24	0.33	0.145	1445	0.289	0.772
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.04	-0.21	0.13	0.086	3528	-0.436	0.663
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.17	0.07	0.26	0.048	3528	3.459	0.001
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.99	-1.31	-0.66	0.165	3528	-5.981	0
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.47	7.17	7.77	0.154	3522	48.444	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.91	-1.96	3.79	1.469	1443	0.621	0.535
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.08	-0.25	0.08	0.084	3522	-0.959	0.338
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.11	-0.2	-0.01	0.049	3522	-2.187	0.029
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.03	-0.37	0.31	0.173	3522	-0.172	0.863
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.15	-0.56	0.27	0.214	1443	-0.679	0.497
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.02	-0.4	0.35	0.192	1443	-0.127	0.899
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.16	-0.08	0.41	0.126	3522	1.307	0.191
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.08	-0.05	0.21	0.068	3522	1.149	0.25
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.58	-1.04	-0.12	0.235	3522	-2.482	0.013
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.02	-0.26	0.21	0.12	3522	-0.184	0.854
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.06	-0.08	0.2	0.072	3522	0.877	0.381
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.13	-0.36	0.62	0.25	3522	0.522	0.602
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	0.3	-0.26	0.87	0.29	1443	1.047	0.295
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.35	-0.68	-0.01	0.172	3522	-2.012	0.044
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.15	-0.04	0.34	0.097	3522	1.569	0.117
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.75	-1.39	-0.1	0.33	3522	-2.262	0.024

Note. Number of Respondents = 1,448; Number of Observations = 4,982.

Table S18

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Household Income in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.1	5.97	6.24	0.071	14269	86.258	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	2.78	1.47	4.1	0.67	3349	4.152	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.09	0.03	0.16	0.034	14269	2.823	0.005
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.06	0.02	0.1	0.019	14269	3.246	0.001
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.25	-0.39	-0.11	0.072	14269	-3.513	0
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.21	0.06	0.37	0.078	3349	2.721	0.007
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.09	-0.18	-0.01	0.045	14269	-2.092	0.036
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.02	-0.07	0.03	0.026	14269	-0.698	0.485
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.14	-0.32	0.04	0.093	14269	-1.53	0.126
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.12	5.93	6.3	0.094	14263	65.102	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	2.78	1.46	4.09	0.67	3347	4.145	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.09	-0.01	0.18	0.049	14263	1.801	0.072
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.07	0.02	0.12	0.026	14263	2.774	0.006
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.3	-0.5	-0.1	0.102	14263	-2.981	0.003
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.31	0.08	0.53	0.116	3347	2.658	0.008
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.03	-0.25	0.2	0.114	3347	-0.226	0.821
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.12	-0.25	0	0.065	14263	-1.89	0.059
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.03	-0.11	0.04	0.036	14263	-0.93	0.353
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.05	-0.31	0.21	0.134	14263	-0.358	0.72
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0.01	-0.12	0.15	0.067	14263	0.204	0.838
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.02	-0.1	0.05	0.039	14263	-0.544	0.587
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.1	-0.18	0.38	0.144	14263	0.702	0.483
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.17	-0.48	0.13	0.157	3347	-1.108	0.268
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.05	-0.12	0.23	0.09	14263	0.613	0.54
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.03	-0.07	0.13	0.052	14263	0.604	0.546
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.18	-0.55	0.18	0.186	14263	-0.981	0.327

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,352; Number of Observations = 17,627.

Table S19

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Personal Income in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	5.44	5.22	5.66	0.112	6011	48.585	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	8.83	6.22	11.44	1.332	2049	6.633	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.19	0.08	0.29	0.053	6011	3.541	0
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.08	0.02	0.14	0.03	6011	2.668	0.008
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.36	-0.57	-0.14	0.109	6011	-3.252	0.001
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.02	-0.23	0.28	0.131	2049	0.19	0.849
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.16	-0.31	-0.02	0.074	6011	-2.167	0.03
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.1	0.02	0.18	0.041	6011	2.466	0.014
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.39	-0.67	-0.1	0.144	6011	-2.685	0.007
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	5.55	5.27	5.83	0.143	6005	38.789	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	8.2	5.61	10.79	1.322	2047	6.2	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.21	0.06	0.35	0.073	6005	2.799	0.005
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.12	0.04	0.2	0.041	6005	2.942	0.003
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.38	-0.68	-0.09	0.151	6005	-2.538	0.011
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.11	-0.26	0.48	0.188	2047	0.596	0.551
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.15	-0.5	0.19	0.176	2047	-0.856	0.392
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.13	-0.33	0.08	0.106	6005	-1.183	0.237
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.09	-0.2	0.02	0.057	6005	-1.64	0.101
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.34	-0.06	0.74	0.204	6005	1.648	0.099
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.04	-0.24	0.17	0.106	6005	-0.36	0.719
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.09	-0.21	0.03	0.06	6005	-1.47	0.142
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.07	-0.36	0.5	0.218	6005	0.315	0.753
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.16	-0.67	0.35	0.26	2047	-0.599	0.549
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	-0.06	-0.35	0.23	0.148	6005	-0.39	0.696
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.37	0.21	0.53	0.081	6005	4.598	0
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-1.37	-1.94	-0.81	0.288	6005	-4.779	0

Note. Number of Respondents = 2,052; Number of Observations = 8,069.

Table S20

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Leisure in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.97	6.84	7.11	0.069	14324	100.906	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-3.4	-4.64	-2.17	0.63	3342	-5.397	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.05	-0.12	0.02	0.035	14324	-1.483	0.138
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0	-0.04	0.04	0.02	14324	-0.074	0.941
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.01	-0.14	0.15	0.076	14324	0.081	0.935
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	-0.05	-0.21	0.1	0.078	3342	-0.675	0.5
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.13	0.04	0.22	0.047	14324	2.783	0.005
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.03	-0.08	0.03	0.027	14324	-0.938	0.348
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.45	-0.65	-0.26	0.098	14324	-4.619	0
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.83	6.65	7.01	0.093	14318	73.67	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-3.38	-4.61	-2.14	0.63	3340	-5.361	0
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.02	-0.12	0.08	0.051	14318	-0.439	0.661
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0	-0.05	0.06	0.028	14318	0.054	0.957
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.04	-0.17	0.25	0.107	14318	0.341	0.733
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.08	-0.14	0.31	0.115	3340	0.713	0.476
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.26	0.03	0.48	0.113	3340	2.262	0.024
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.01	-0.14	0.13	0.069	14318	-0.091	0.928
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.02	-0.1	0.06	0.039	14318	-0.531	0.596
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.38	-0.66	-0.1	0.142	14318	-2.672	0.008
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.05	-0.19	0.09	0.071	14318	-0.756	0.45
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.01	-0.09	0.07	0.041	14318	-0.199	0.842
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	-0.06	-0.35	0.24	0.152	14318	-0.375	0.708
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.25	-0.55	0.06	0.156	3340	-1.59	0.112
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.25	0.07	0.44	0.094	14318	2.67	0.008
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.01	-0.11	0.1	0.055	14318	-0.123	0.902
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.14	-0.52	0.25	0.197	14318	-0.699	0.484

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,345; Number of Observations = 17,675.

Table S21

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Job in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.12	6.98	7.25	0.068	11441	104.222	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.73	-0.42	1.88	0.587	3219	1.244	0.213
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.06	-0.13	0.01	0.037	11441	-1.578	0.115
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.02	-0.06	0.03	0.021	11441	-0.753	0.451
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.06	-0.22	0.1	0.079	11441	-0.758	0.449
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.08	-0.07	0.23	0.077	3219	1.082	0.279
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0	-0.1	0.1	0.05	11441	-0.02	0.984
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.02	-0.07	0.04	0.03	11441	-0.531	0.595
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.07	-0.15	0.28	0.109	11441	0.601	0.548
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.02	6.85	7.2	0.091	11435	77.295	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.73	-0.42	1.88	0.587	3217	1.249	0.212
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.03	-0.08	0.13	0.054	11435	0.48	0.631
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.04	-0.09	0.02	0.029	11435	-1.245	0.213
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.07	-0.29	0.15	0.111	11435	-0.653	0.514
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.29	0.07	0.51	0.112	3217	2.585	0.01
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.17	-0.05	0.39	0.113	3217	1.528	0.127
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.1	-0.24	0.04	0.072	11435	-1.366	0.172
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0	-0.08	0.08	0.04	11435	-0.058	0.954
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.05	-0.24	0.33	0.146	11435	0.331	0.741
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.16	-0.31	-0.02	0.075	11435	-2.183	0.029
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	0.04	-0.04	0.13	0.043	11435	1.003	0.316
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.02	-0.3	0.33	0.159	11435	0.096	0.924
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.39	-0.69	-0.09	0.154	3217	-2.536	0.011
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.18	-0.01	0.38	0.1	11435	1.843	0.065
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	-0.03	-0.15	0.09	0.061	11435	-0.483	0.629
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	0.05	-0.39	0.48	0.224	11435	0.201	0.84

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,222; Number of Observations = 14,669.

Table S22

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Housework in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.77	6.63	6.91	0.07	9902	96.144	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.1	-1.1	1.3	0.614	3091	0.162	0.871
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.03	-0.11	0.04	0.039	9902	-0.875	0.382
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	-0.04	-0.08	0.01	0.022	9902	-1.718	0.086
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	0.06	-0.1	0.22	0.083	9902	0.716	0.474
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.12	-0.04	0.28	0.081	3091	1.466	0.143
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.06	-0.04	0.16	0.052	9902	1.215	0.224
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.01	-0.04	0.07	0.029	9902	0.462	0.644
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.19	-0.4	0.02	0.105	9902	-1.815	0.07
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	6.64	6.44	6.83	0.099	9896	67.368	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	0.07	-1.13	1.28	0.614	3089	0.12	0.905
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	0.04	-0.08	0.15	0.059	9896	0.633	0.527
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0	-0.07	0.06	0.032	9896	-0.15	0.881
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.07	-0.3	0.17	0.122	9896	-0.538	0.591
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.14	-0.11	0.38	0.125	3089	1.094	0.274
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.23	0	0.46	0.119	3089	1.943	0.052
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.05	-0.11	0.2	0.08	9896	0.601	0.548
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.02	-0.07	0.11	0.044	9896	0.427	0.67
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.07	-0.39	0.25	0.162	9896	-0.434	0.664
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	-0.12	-0.28	0.03	0.079	9896	-1.569	0.117
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.07	-0.15	0.02	0.045	9896	-1.481	0.139
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.24	-0.09	0.56	0.166	9896	1.422	0.155
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.04	-0.36	0.28	0.164	3089	-0.238	0.812
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.03	-0.18	0.23	0.104	9896	0.271	0.786
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0	-0.11	0.12	0.059	9896	0.041	0.967
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.23	-0.65	0.19	0.214	9896	-1.065	0.287

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,094; Number of Observations = 13,002.

Table S23

Fixed Effects Multilevel Modeling Regression Parameters for Satisfaction with Dwelling in the Main Analysis Sample (50% of the Total Sample)

Parameter	Value	95% CI		Standard Error	Degrees of Freedom	t-value	p-value
Basic Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.41	7.28	7.54	0.065	14345	113.464	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	-0.55	-1.7	0.61	0.589	3356	-0.927	0.354
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.01	-0.08	0.06	0.035	14345	-0.23	0.818
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.01	-0.03	0.05	0.02	14345	0.51	0.61
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.08	-0.23	0.07	0.075	14345	-1.078	0.281
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.17	0.02	0.31	0.074	3356	2.226	0.026
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	0.02	-0.07	0.11	0.046	14345	0.344	0.731
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	0.01	-0.04	0.06	0.027	14345	0.398	0.691
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	-0.14	-0.33	0.05	0.097	14345	-1.422	0.155
Full Model							
Intercept, $\hat{\gamma}_{00}$	7.34	7.17	7.51	0.088	14339	83.399	0
Propensity Score, $\hat{\gamma}_{04}$	-0.54	-1.7	0.61	0.589	3354	-0.92	0.358
slope1, $\hat{\gamma}_{10}$	-0.01	-0.1	0.09	0.05	14339	-0.109	0.913
slope2, $\hat{\gamma}_{20}$	0.05	0	0.11	0.027	14339	1.889	0.059
jump, $\hat{\gamma}_{30}$	-0.19	-0.4	0.01	0.105	14339	-1.834	0.067
parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{01}$	0.24	0.02	0.45	0.11	3354	2.155	0.031
female, $\hat{\gamma}_{02}$	0.13	-0.08	0.34	0.108	3354	1.213	0.225
slope1:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{11}$	-0.01	-0.15	0.12	0.068	14339	-0.187	0.852
slope2:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{21}$	-0.02	-0.09	0.06	0.038	14339	-0.475	0.634
jump:parent, $\hat{\gamma}_{31}$	0.04	-0.23	0.31	0.139	14339	0.296	0.767
slope1:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{12}$	0	-0.14	0.13	0.07	14339	-0.042	0.967
slope2:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{22}$	-0.09	-0.17	-0.01	0.04	14339	-2.194	0.028
jump:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{32}$	0.24	-0.06	0.53	0.149	14339	1.577	0.115
parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{03}$	-0.13	-0.42	0.16	0.149	3354	-0.871	0.384
slope1:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{13}$	0.05	-0.13	0.23	0.093	14339	0.548	0.583
slope2:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{23}$	0.06	-0.04	0.17	0.054	14339	1.202	0.23
jump:parent:female, $\hat{\gamma}_{33}$	-0.36	-0.74	0.02	0.194	14339	-1.84	0.066

Note. Number of Respondents = 3,359; Number of Observations = 17,710.

References

Brauns, H., Scherer, S., & Steinmann, S. (2003). The CASMIN Educational Classification in International Comparative Research. In J. H. P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik & C. Wolf (Eds.), *Advances in Cross-National Comparison: A European Working Book for Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables* (pp. 221-244). Boston, MA: Springer US.

Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2011). *An R Companion to Applied Regression, Second Edition*. Retrieved from http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion

Hoffman, L. (2015). *Longitudinal analysis: Modeling within-person fluctuation and change*. New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., & Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biometrical Journal, 50*(3), 346-363. doi:10.1002/bimj.200810425

Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R Core Team. (2019). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models (R package version 3.1.140) [Computer software]. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme

Stuart, E. A. (2010). Matching methods for causal inference: A review and a look forward. *Statistical Science: A Review Journal Of The Institute Of Mathematical Statistics, 25*(1), 1-21. doi:10.1214/09-STS313

Torchiano, M. (2019). effsize: Efficient Effect Size Computation (R package version 0.7.6) [Computer software]. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=effsize