Table S1

Measurement Invariance Tests for Men and Women

	Study 1			Study 2		
	Configural Invariance	Weak Invariance	Strong Invariance	Configural Invariance	Weak Invariance	Strong Invariance
Religiosity						
Chi-square						
	48.50	57.26	139.88	125.11	131.43	171.50
Degrees of Freedom	4	7	11	17	20	24
CFI	.992	.991	.978	.953	.951	.935
P-Value	--	.03	<.001	--	.62	<.001
Sexual Sanctification						
Chi-square	294.90	323.18	338.35	187.218	201.817	209.085
Degrees of Freedom	10	14	19	31	35	40
CFI	.960	.957	.956	.942	.938	.937
P-Value	--	<.001	.01	--	.37	.94
Inhibited Sexual Passion						
Chi-square	56.68	58.79	95.53	37.54	41.49	63.25
Degrees of Freedom	4	7	11	17	20	24
CFI	.980	.980	.968	.978	.977	.959
P-Value	--	.55	<.001	--	.49	<.001
Sexual Satisfaction						
Chi-square	97.24	103.46	123.73	74.67	79.39	105.35
Degrees of Freedom	10	14	19	31	35	40
CFI	.991	.991	.990	.980	.980	.971
P-Value	--	.18	<.01	--	.21	<.001

Note: The p-value is for the chi-square difference test

Figure S1. Structural equation model for married and unmarried men's religiosity predicting sexual sanctification, sexual guilt, and sexual satisfaction. All coefficients are standardized. Married men's coefficients come before unmarried men's coefficients. Analyses controlled for age, relationship length, race, sexual orientation, and marital status. Model Fit for married men: χ^2 (219) = 434.51, p < .001, CFI = .96, RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .05. Model Fit for unmarried men: χ^2 (219) = 375.79, p < .001, CFI = .97, RMSEA = .05, SRMR = .05. $^*p$ < .05, $^{**}p$ < .01, $^{***}p$ < .001.

Figure S2. Structural equation model for married and unmarried women's religiosity predicting sexual sanctification, sexual guilt, and sexual satisfaction. All coefficients are standardized. Married women's coefficients come before unmarried women's coefficients. Dashed pathway from religiosity to inhibited sexual passion indicates non-significance. Analyses controlled for age, relationship length, race, sexual orientation, and marital status. Model Fit for married women: χ^2 (219) = 577.59, $p < .001$, CFI = .96, RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .05. Model Fit for unmarried women: χ^2 (219) = 615.36, $p < .001$, CFI = .95, RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .05. $^*p < .05$, $^{**}p < .01$, $^{***}p < .001$.

Table S2

Model of direct, total, and indirect effects predicting inhibited sexual passion, sexual sanctification, and sexual satisfaction

Sexual Satisfaction							
Married Men				Married Women			
	β	SE	CI		β	SE	CI
MRel→MSat (direct effect)	-.18	.06	[-.30, -.07]	WRel→WSat (direct effect)	-.24	.06	[-.26, -.08]
MRel→MSan→ MSat	.27	.04	[.21, .43]	WRel→WSan→WSat	.24	.03	[.18, .30]
MRel→MInh→MSat	-.03	.02	[-.09, .01]	WRel→WInh→ WSat	-.01	.01	[-.04, .02]
MRel→MSat (total indirect)	.24	.05	[.16, 38]	WRel→WSat (total indirect)	.32	.05	[.16, .30]
MRel→MSat (total effect)	.05	.06	[.15, .33]	WRel→WSat (total effect)	.08	.06	[-.03, .14]
Unmarried Men				Unmarried Women			
MRel→MSat (direct effect)	-.18	.07	[-.32, -.05]	WRel→WSat (direct effect)	-.17	.05	[-.26, -.08]
MRel→MSan→ MSat	.15	.04	[.07, .23]	WRel→WSan→WSat	.21	.03	[.15, .27]
MRel→MInh→MSat	-.06	.03	[-.32, -.05]	WRel→WInh→WSat	-.01	.02	[-.05, .03]
MRel→MSat (total indirect)	.09	.05	[.01, .17]	MRel→MSat (total indirect)	.20	.04	[.13, .28]
MRel→MSat (total effect)	-.09	.06	[-.21, .03]	MRel→MSat (total effect)	.06	.04	[.16, .30]

MRel= Men Religiosity; MSat = Men Sexual Satisfaction; MSan = Men Sexual Sanctification; MInh = Men Inhibited Sexual Passion; WRel = Women Religiosity; WSat = Women Sexual Satisfaction; WSan = Women Sexual Sanctification; WInh = Women Inhibited Sexual Passion.

Figure S3. Married and unmarried actor-partner interdependence structural equation model for religiosity predicting sexual sanctification, sexual guilt, and sexual satisfaction. All coefficients are standardized. Married couple's coefficients come before unmarried couple's coefficients. Analyses controlled for age, relationship length, race, sexual orientation, and marital status. Model Fit for married couples: $\chi^2 (18) = 41.96$, $p < .001$, CFI = .97, RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .04. Model Fit for unmarried couples: $\chi^2 (18) = 41.96$, $p < .001$, CFI = .97, RMSEA = .06, SRMR = .04. $^*p < .05$, $^{**}p < .01$, $^{***}p < .001$.

Table S3

Model of direct, total, and indirect effects predicting inhibited sexual passion, sexual sanctification, and sexual satisfaction

	Married Men's Sexual Satisfaction				Married Women's Sexual Satisfaction		
	β	SE	CI		β	SE	CI
WRel→ MSat (direct effect)	-.02	.07	[-.16, .13]	WRel→ WSat (direct effect)	-.05	.07	[-.20, .09]
WRel→WSan→MSat	.04	.02	[-.01, .09]	WRel→WSan→WSat	.12	.05	[.02, .22]
WRel→MSan→ MSat	.00	.02	[-.03, .04]	WRel→MSan→ WSat	-.00	.01	[-.03, .02]
WRel→WInh→MSat	.01	.01	[-.01, .04]	WRel→WInh→WSat	.03	.20	[-.01, .06]
WRel→MInh→ MSat	.00	.02	[-.04, .04]	WRel→MInh→ WSat	.00	.01	[-.03, .02]
WRel→MSat (total indirect)	.06	.05	[-.06, .15]	WRel→WSat (total indirect)	.15	.05	[.04, .25]
WRel→MSat (total effect)	.04	.08	[-.12, .21]	WRel→WSat (total effect)	.09	.10	[-.09, .28]
MRel→MSat (direct effect)	-.15	.08	[-.30, .01]	MRel→WSat (direct effect)	-.07	.08	[-.23, .09]
MRel→WSan→ MSat	.07	.03	[.00, .13]	MRel→WSan→ WSat	.20	.05	[.10, .29]
MRel→MSan→MSat	.15	.05	[.05, .25]	MRel→MSan→WSat	-.10	.05	[-.20, -.00]
MRel→WInh→MSat	-.01	.01	[-.3, .01]	MRel→WInh→WSat	-.02	.02	[-.05, .02]
MRel→MInh→MSat	-.00	.02	[-.05, .04]	MRel→MInh→WSat	.00	.01	[-.03, .03]
MRel→MSat (total indirect)	.21	.05	[.11, .31]	MRel→WSat (total indirect)	.08	.06	[-.03, .19]
MRel→MSat (total effect)	.06	.08	[-.10, .22]	MRel→WSat (total effect)	.01	.10	[-.18, .20]
	Unmarried Men's Sexual Satisfaction				Unmarried Women's Sexual Satisfaction		
WRel→ MSat (direct effect)	-.11	.10	[-.30, .09]	WRel→ WSat (direct effect)	-.35	.13	[-.60, -.09]
WRel→WSan→MSat	.05	.04	[-.04, .14]	WRel→WSan→WSat	.17	.07	[.05, .30]
WRel→MSan→ MSat	.07	.04	[-.02, .15]	WRel→MSan→ WSat	.03	.03	[-.02, .08]
WRel→WInh→MSat	.02	.03	[-.03, .07]	WRel→WInh→WSat	.02	.03	[-.03, .07]

WRel→MInh→ MSat	-.01	.03	[-.06, .04]	WRel→MInh→ WSat	-.01	.02	[-.04, .03]
WRel→MSat (total indirect)	.13	.06	[.00, .26]	WRel→WSat (total indirect)	.22	.08	[.07, .37]
WRel→MSat (total effect)	.03	.09	[-.15, .20]	WRel→WSat (total effect)	-.13	.15	[-.43, .17]
MRel→MSat (direct effect)	-.06	.11	[-.26, .15]	MRel→WSat (direct effect)	.06	.11	[-.16, .27]
MRel→WSan→ MSat	.02	.03	[-.03, .07]	MRel→WSan→ WSat	.08	.05	[-.02, .18]
MRel→MSan→MSat	.13	.06	[.02, .24]	MRel→MSan→WSat	.06	.05	[-.04, .16]
MRel→WInh→MSat	.00	.02	[-.04, .05]	MRel→WInh→WSat	.00	.02	[-.04, .05]
MRel→MInh→MSat	-.01	.03	[-.06, .04]	MRel→MInh→WSat	-.01	.02	[-.04, .03]
MRel→MSat (total indirect)	.15	.07	[.02, .28]	MRel→WSat (total indirect)	.14	.08	[-.02, .30]
MRel→MSat (total effect)	.10	.10	[-.10, .29]	MRel→WSat (total effect)	.20	.14	[-.08, .47]

MRel= Men Religiosity; MSat = Men Sexual Satisfaction; MSan = Men Sexual Sanctification; MInh = Men Inhibited Sexual Passion; WRel = Women Religiosity; WSat = Women Sexual Satisfaction; WSan = Women Sexual Sanctification; WInh = Women Inhibited Sexual Passion.